arXiv:1108.5021v1 [math.OA] 25 Aug 2011

Approximate Double Commutants in von Neumann Algebras

Don Hadwin

Dedicated to Eric Nordgren, a great mathematician and a great friend.

Abstract. Richard Kadison showed that not every commutative von Neumann subalgebra of a factor von Neumann algebra is equal to its relative double commutant. We prove that every commutative C*-subalgebra of a centrally prime C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ equals its relative approximate double commutant. If $\mathcal{B}$ is a von Neumann algebra, there is a related distance formula.

One of the fundamental results in the theory of von Neumann algebras is von Neumann's classical *double commutant theorem*, which says that if $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^* \subseteq B(H)$, then $\mathcal{S}'' = W^*(\mathcal{S})$. In 1978 [**3**] the author proved an asymptotic version of von Neumann's theorem, the *approximate double commutant theorem*. For the asymptotic version, we define the *approximate double commutant* of $\mathcal{S} \subseteq B(H)$, denoted by $\operatorname{Appr}(S)''$, to be the set of all operators T such that

$$\|A_\lambda T - T A_\lambda\| \to 0$$

for every bounded net $\{A_\lambda\}$ in $B(H)$ for which

$$\|A_\lambda S - S A_\lambda\| \to 0$$

for every $S \in \mathcal{S}$. More generally, if $\mathcal{B}$ is a unital C*-algebra and $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, we define the *relative approximate double commutant of S in $\mathcal{B}$*, denoted by $\operatorname{Appr}(S,\mathcal{B})''$, in the same way but insisting that the T's and the A_λ's be in $\mathcal{B}$. The approximate double commutant theorem in $B(H)$ [**3**] says that if $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^*$, then $\operatorname{Appr}(\mathcal{S})'' = C^*(\mathcal{S})$. Moreover, if we restrict the $\{A_\lambda\}$'s to be nets of unitaries or nets of projections that asymptotically commute with every element of $\mathcal{S}$, the resulting approximate double commutant is still $C^*(\mathcal{S})$.

A von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is *hyperreflexive* if there is a constant $K \geq 1$ such that, for every $T \in B(H)$

$$\mathit{dist}(T,\mathcal{B}) \leq K \sup\{\|TP - PT\| : P \in \mathcal{B}', P \text{ a projection}\}.$$

The smallest such K is called the *constant of hyperreflexivity* for $\mathcal{B}$. The inequality

$$\sup\{\|TP - PT\| : P \in \mathcal{M}', P \text{ a projection}\} \leq \mathit{dist}(T,\mathcal{M})$$

is always true. The question of whether every von Neumann algebra is hyperreflexive is still open and is equivalent to a number of other important problems

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L19; Secondary 46L05.

Key words and phrases. double commutant, approximate double commutant, hyperreflexive.

in von Neumann algebras (see [**6**]). It was proved by the author [**4**] that every unital C*-subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $B(H)$ is approximately hyperreflexive; more precisely, if $T \in B(H)$, then there is a net $\{P_\lambda\}$ of projections such that

$$\|AP_\lambda - P_\lambda A\| \to 0$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, and

$$dist\,(T, \mathcal{A}) \le 29 \lim_\lambda \|TP_\lambda - P_\lambda T\|.$$

If we replace the role of $B(H)$ with a factor von Neumann algebra, then the double commutant theorem fails, even when the subalgebra is commutative. Suppose $\mathcal{S}$ is a subset of a ring $\mathcal{R}$. We define the *relative commutant* of $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathcal{R}$, the *relative double commutant* of $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathcal{R}$, and the *relative triple commutant* of $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathcal{R}$, respectively, by

$$(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R})' = \{T \in \mathcal{R} : \forall S \in \mathcal{S}, TS = ST\},$$
$$(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R})'' = \left\{T \in \mathcal{R} : \forall A \in (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R})', TA = AT\right\},$$

and

$$(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R})''' = \left\{T \in \mathcal{R} : \forall A \in (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R})'', TA = AT\right\}.$$

It is clear from general Galois nonsense that

$$(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R})''' = (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R})'.$$

Following R. Kadison [**8**] we will say a subring $\mathcal{M}$ of a unital ring $\mathcal{B}$ is *normal* if

$$\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})'' = (\mathcal{M}' \cap \mathcal{B})' \cap \mathcal{B}.$$

R. Kadison [**8**] proved that if $\mathcal{M}$ is type I von Neumann subalgebra of a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{B}$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is normal in $\mathcal{B}$ if and only if its center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{M}'$ is normal if and only if $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M})$ is an intersection of masas (maximal abelian selfadjoint subalgebras) of $\mathcal{B}$. See the paper of B. J. Vowden [**14**] for more examples. We see that the part of Kadison's result concerning abelian C*-subalgebras is true in the C*-algebraic setting. We prove general version for rings, which applies to commutative nonselfadjoint subalgebras of a C*-algebra or von Neumann algebra.

Lemma 1. *Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ is a unital abelian subring of a unital ring $\mathcal{B}$. The following are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})''$.
(2) $\mathcal{M}$ *is an intersection of maximal abelian subrings of* $\mathcal{B}$.
(3) $\mathcal{M}$ *is an intersection of subrings of the form* $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})'$ *for subsets* $\mathcal{S}$ *of* $\mathcal{B}$.

PROOF. First note that every maximal abelian subring $\mathcal{E}$ has the property that $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})'$, which implies $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})''$ and the implication (2) $\Longrightarrow$ (3). It is also clear that if $\{\mathcal{S}_i : i \in I\}$ is a collection of nonempty subsets of $\mathcal{B}$, then

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} (\mathcal{S}_i, \mathcal{B})' \subseteq (\cap_{i \in I} \mathcal{S}_i, \mathcal{B})',$$

and

$$(\cap_{i \in I} \mathcal{S}_i, \mathcal{B})'' \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} (\mathcal{S}_i, \mathcal{B})''.$$

This, and the fact that $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})''' = (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})'$ always holds, yields (3) $\Longrightarrow$ (1).

To prove (1) $\Longrightarrow$ (3), suppose (1) holds, and let $\mathcal{W}$ be a maximal abelian subring of $\mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$. For each $W \in \mathcal{W} \backslash \mathcal{M}$, by (1), there is a $T_W \in (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})'$

such that $T_W W \neq W T_W$. Since the ring generated by $\mathcal{M} \cup \{T_W\}$ is abelian, it is contained in a maximal abelian subring $\mathcal{S}_W$, and $W \notin \mathcal{S}_W$. Hence

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{W} \cap \bigcap_{W \in \mathcal{W} \backslash \mathcal{M}} \mathcal{S}_W,$$

which proves (2) holds. □

If in the statement and proof of the preceding lemma we replace "ring" with "C*-algebra", we obtain the following result for C*-algebras.

Corollary 1. *Suppose* $\mathcal{M}$ *is a unital commutative C*-subalgebra of a unital C*-algebra* $\mathcal{B}$. *The following are equivalent:*

1. $\mathcal{M}$ *is normal in* $\mathcal{B}$.
2. $\mathcal{M}$ *is an intersection of masas in* $\mathcal{B}$.
3. $\mathcal{M}$ *is an intersection of algebras of the form* $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})'$ *for subsets* $\mathcal{S}$ *of* $\mathcal{B}$.

We now know that every masa in a C*-algebra is normal. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a masa in a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{B}$, then the double commutant theorem holds even with a distance formula. The proof is a simple adaptation of the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [**13**].

Lemma 2. *Suppose* $\mathcal{M}$ *is a masa in a von Neumann algebra* $\mathcal{B}$ *and* $T \in \mathcal{B}$. *Then*

$$dist\,(T, \mathcal{M}) \leq \sup\left\{\|UT - TU\| : U = U^* \in \mathcal{B}, U^2 = 1\right\} =$$
$$2 \sup\left\{\|TP - PT\| : P = P^* = P^2 \in \mathcal{B}\right\}$$

Proof. Let R denote the right-hand side of the inequality, and let D be the closed ball in $\mathcal{B}$ centered at T with radius R. Suppose $\mathcal{F}$ is a finite orthogonal set of projections in $\mathcal{M}$ whose sum is 1. Let $G(\mathcal{F})$ be the set of all sums of the form

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{F}} \lambda_P P$$

with each λ_P in $\{-1, 1\}$. Then $G(\mathcal{F})$ is a finite group of unitaries and each $U \in G(\mathcal{F})$ has the form $2Q - 1$ with Q a finite sum of elements in $\mathcal{F}$. Moreover, if $U = 2Q - 1$,

$$2\,\|TQ - QT\| = \|TU - UT\| = \|T - UTU^*\|\,.$$

It follows that $UTU^* \in D$ for every $U \in G(\mathcal{F})$. Define

$$S_{\mathcal{F}} = \frac{1}{card G\,(\mathcal{F})} \sum_{U \in G(F)} UTU^*.$$

Since $G(\mathcal{F})$ is a group, it easily follows that, for every $U_0 \in G(\mathcal{F})$,

$$U_0 S_{\mathcal{F}} U_0^* = S_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

This implies that $S_{\mathcal{F}} = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{F}} PTP \in \mathcal{F}' = G(\mathcal{F})'$. Choose a subnet $\{S_{\mathcal{F}_\lambda}\}$ that converges in the weak operator topology to $S \in D$. Then $S \in (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})' \cap D$. Since $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})' = \mathcal{M}$, we conclude

$$dist\,(T, \mathcal{M}) \leq \|T - S\| \leq R.$$

$\square$

We now address the approximate double commutant relative to a C*-algebra. If $\mathcal{S}$ is a subset of a C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$, we know that $\text{Appr}(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{B})''$ must contain the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B}\cap\mathcal{B}'$. Hence if $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital C*-subalgebra of a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{B}$, then

$$C^*(\mathcal{A}\cup\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})) \subseteq Appr(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})''.$$

When $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative, we will prove that equality holds.

The following result is based on S. Macado's generalization [**11**] of the Bishop-Stone-Weierstrass theorem. See [**12**] for a beautiful short elementary proof.

Lemma 3. *Suppose $\mathcal{W}$ is a unital C*-subalgebra of a commutative C*-algebra $\mathcal{D}$, and $S = S^* \in \mathcal{D}$. Then there are multiplicative linear functionals α, β on $\mathcal{D}$ and nets $\{A_\lambda\}, \{B_\lambda\}, \{X_\lambda\}$ and $\{Y_\lambda\}$ in $\mathcal{D}$ such that*

1. $0 \le X_\lambda \le A_\lambda \le 1, 0 \le Y_\lambda \le B_\lambda \le 1,$
2. $X_\lambda Y_\lambda = 0, A_\lambda X_\lambda = X_\lambda,\ Y_\lambda B_\lambda = Y_\lambda,$
3. $\|DX_\lambda - \alpha(D)X_\lambda\| \to 0$ *and* $\|DY_\lambda - \beta(D)Y_\lambda\| \to 0$ *for every* $D \in \mathcal{D}$,
4. $\alpha(A) = \beta(A)$ *for every* $A \in \mathcal{W}$,
5. $\alpha(X_\lambda) = \beta(Y_\lambda) = 1$ *for every* λ,
6. $\beta(S) - \alpha(S) = 2dist(S,\mathcal{W})$.

Proof. Let K be the maximal ideal space of $\mathcal{D}$ and let $\Gamma : \mathcal{D} \to C(K)$ be the Gelfand map, which must be a $*$-isomorphism since $\mathcal{D}$ is a commutative C*-algebra. Let $g = \Gamma(S) = \Gamma(S^*) = \bar{g}$ It follows from Machado's theorem [**11**] that there is a $\Gamma(\mathcal{W})$-antisymmetric set $E \subseteq K$ such that

$$dist(S,\mathcal{W}) = dist(g,\Gamma(\mathcal{W})) = dist(g|_E, \Gamma(\mathcal{W})|_E).$$

Since $-(\mathcal{W})$ is self-adjoint and E is $\Gamma(\mathcal{W})$-antisymmetric, every function in $\Gamma(\mathcal{W})$ is constant. Hence $dist(g|_E, \Gamma(\mathcal{W})|_E)$ is the distance from $g|_E$ to the constant functions. It is clear that the closest constant function to $g|_E$ is

$$\frac{g(\beta) - g(\alpha)}{2},$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in E$, $g(\beta) = \max_{x\in E} g(x)$ and $g(\alpha) = \min_{x\in E} g(x)$. Let Λ be the directed set of all pairs $\lambda = (U_\lambda, V_\lambda)$ of disjoint open sets with $\alpha \in U_\lambda$ and $\beta \in V_\lambda$, ordered by $\lambda_1 \le \lambda_2$ if and only if $U_{\lambda_2} \subseteq U_{\lambda_1}$ and $V_{\lambda_2} \subseteq V_{\lambda_1}$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ choose continuous functions $r_\lambda, s_\lambda, t_\lambda, u_\lambda : K \to [0,1]$ such that

a. $r_\lambda(\alpha) = t_\lambda(\beta) = 1,$
b. $0 \le r_\lambda = r_\lambda s_\lambda \le s_\lambda \le 1,$
c. $0 \le t_\lambda = t_\lambda u_\lambda \le u_\lambda \le 1,$
d. $\text{supp} s_\lambda \subseteq U_\lambda$ and $\text{supp} u_\lambda \subseteq V_\lambda$.

If we choose $A_\lambda, B_\lambda X_\lambda, Y_\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\Gamma(X_\lambda) = r_\lambda$, $\Gamma(A_\lambda) = s_\lambda$, $\Gamma(Y_\lambda) = t_\lambda$, and $\Gamma(B_\lambda) = u_\lambda$, then statements (1)-(6) are clear. $\square$

A C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is *primitive* if it has a faithful irreducible representation. A C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is *prime* if, for every $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$, we have

$$x\mathcal{B}y = \{0\} \Longrightarrow x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0.$$

Every primitive C*-algebra is prime, and it was proved by Dixmier [**2**] that every separable prime C*-algebra is primitive. N. Weaver [**15**] gave an example of a nonseparable prime C*-algebra that is not primitive.

We define $\mathcal{B}$ to be *centrally prime* if, whenever $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$, $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$ and $x\mathcal{B}y = \{0\}$, there is an $e \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$ such that $x \leq e \leq 1$ and $y \leq 1 - e \leq 1$. The centrally prime algebras in include the prime ones, von Neumann algebras, and $\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{B}_i / \sum_{i \in I} \mathcal{B}_i$ or a C*-ultraproduct $\prod_{i \in I}^{\alpha} \mathcal{B}_i$ when $\{\mathcal{B}_i : i \in I\}$ is a collection of unital primitive C*-algebras (see the proof of Theorem 4) .

We characterize *Appr* $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})''$ for every commutative C*-subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of a centrally prime C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$, and we show that there is a distance formula for every commutative unital C*-subalgebra if and only if every masa in $\mathcal{B}$ has a distance formula. In particular, when $\mathcal{B}$ is a von Neumann algebra, we obtain a distance formula.

Remark 1. *Here is a useful comment on distance formulas. If $\mathcal{B}$ is a unital C*-algebra and $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^* \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, then $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})'$ is a unital C*-algebra, so, by the Russo-Dye theorem, the closed unit ball of $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})'$ is the norm-closed convex hull of the set of unitary elements in $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})'$. Hence, for any $T \in \mathcal{B}$,*

$$\sup\left\{\|TW - WT\| : W \in (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})', \|W\| \leq 1\right\} =$$

$$\sup\left\{\|TU - UT\| : U \in (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})', U \text{ is unitary}\right\}.$$

A similar result holds in the approximate case. Suppose $(\Lambda, \leq)$ is a directed set. Then $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{B}$ is a unital C-algebra and the set*

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{\{W_\lambda\} \in \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{B} : \forall S \in \mathcal{S}, \lim_{\lambda} \|W_\lambda S - SW_\lambda\| = 0\right\}$$

is a unital C-algebra and is the closed convex hull of its unitary group. Hence*

$$\sup\left\{\limsup_{\lambda} \|TW_\lambda - W_\lambda T\| : W = \{W_\lambda\} \in \mathcal{E}, \|W\| \leq 1\right\} =$$

$$\sup\left\{\limsup_{\lambda} \|TU_\lambda - U_\lambda T\| : U = \{U_\lambda\} \in \mathcal{E}, U \text{ is unitary}\right\}.$$

Theorem 1. *Suppose $\mathcal{B}$ is a centrally prime unital C*-algebra and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ are unital commutative C*-subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}$. Suppose $S = S^* \in \mathcal{D}$. Then there is a net $\{W_\lambda\}$ in $\mathcal{B}$ such that*

(1) *W_λ is unitary for every λ,*
(2) $\lim_\lambda \|AW_\lambda - W_\lambda A\| = 0$ *for every $A \in \mathcal{W}$,*
(3) $\lim_\lambda \|SW_\lambda - W_\lambda S\| = 2\mathit{dist}(S, \mathcal{W})$.

Moreover, if $\mathcal{B}$ is a von Neumann algebra,, then there is a sequence $\{P_n\}$ of projections in $\mathcal{B}$ such that

4. $\lim_\lambda \|AP_\lambda - P_\lambda A\| = 0$ *for every $A \in \mathcal{W}$,*
5. $\lim_\lambda \|SP_\lambda - P_\lambda S\| = \mathit{dist}(S, \mathcal{W})$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{W} = C^*\left(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{B}\right) \cup \{S\}\right)$, $\mathcal{D} = C^*\left(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{B}\right) \cup \{S\}\right)$. Now choose α, β and nets $\{A_\lambda\}, \{B_\lambda\}, \{X_\lambda\}$ and $\{Y_\lambda\}$ in $\mathcal{D}$ as in Lemma 3. We first show that $X_\lambda \mathcal{B} Y_\lambda \neq \{0\}$; otherwise, since $\mathcal{B}$ is centrally prime, there is an $e \in \mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{B}\right)$ such that $X_\lambda \leq e \leq 1$ and $Y_\lambda \leq 1 - e \leq 1$. Hence $\alpha\left(e\right) = 1$ and $\beta\left(1-e\right) = 0$, or $\beta\left(e\right) = 0$. However, $e \in \mathcal{W}$ and, by part (4) of Lemma 3, we get $\alpha\left(e\right) = \beta\left(e\right)$. This contradiction shows that $X_\lambda \mathcal{B} Y_\lambda \neq \{0\}$. Hence there is a $C_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\left\|X_\lambda C_\lambda Y_\lambda\right\| = 1$. Define $W_\lambda = X_\lambda C_\lambda Y_\lambda = A_\lambda W_\lambda = W_\lambda B_\lambda$. Lemma 3 implies that, for every $D \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\left\|DW_\lambda - \alpha\left(D\right)W_\lambda\right\| = \left\|DA_\lambda W_\lambda - \alpha\left(D\right)A_\lambda W_\lambda\right\| \leq \left\|\left[D - \alpha\left(D\right)\right]A_\lambda\right\| \left\|W_\lambda\right\| \to 0,$$

and

$$\left\|W_\lambda D - \beta\left(D\right)W_\lambda\right\| = \left\|W_\lambda B_\lambda D - \beta\left(D\right)W_\lambda B_\lambda\right\| \leq \left\|W_\lambda\right\| \left\|B_\lambda\left[D - \alpha\left(D\right)\right]\right\| \to 0.$$

Since $\alpha\left(A\right) = \beta\left(A\right)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{W}$, it follows that $\left\|AW_\lambda - W_\lambda A\right\| \to 0$. It also follows that

$$\lim_\lambda \left\|W_\lambda S - SW_\lambda\right\| = \lim_\lambda \left|\beta\left(S\right) - \alpha\left(S\right)\right| \left\|W_\lambda\right\| = \left|\beta\left(S\right) - \alpha\left(S\right)\right| = 2dist\left(S, \mathcal{W}\right).$$

We now appeal to Remark 1 to replace the net $\{W_\lambda\}$ with a net of unitaries.

Now suppose $\mathcal{B}$ is a von Neumann algebra. Once we get $X_\lambda \mathcal{B} Y_\lambda \neq 0$ we know that there is a partial isometry V_λ in $\mathcal{B}$ whose final space is contained in the closure of $ranX_\lambda$ and whose initial space is contained in $\left(\ker Y_\lambda\right)^\perp$. Then (3) holds with $\{W_\lambda\}$ replaced with $\{V_\lambda\}$. Also, $V_\lambda^2 = 0$ (since $X_\lambda Y_\lambda = 0$), so $P_\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\left(V_\lambda + V_\lambda^* + V_\lambda V_\lambda^* + V_\lambda^* V_\lambda\right)$ is a projection. Using the above arguments gives us

$$\left\|DV_\lambda^* V_\lambda - \beta\left(D\right)V_\lambda^* V_\lambda\right\| \to 0, \left\|V_\lambda^* V_\lambda D - \beta\left(D\right)V_\lambda^* V_\lambda\right\| \to 0$$

and

$$\left\|DV_\lambda V_\lambda^* - \alpha\left(D\right)V_\lambda V_\lambda^*\right\| \to 0, \left\|V_\lambda V_\lambda^* D - \alpha\left(D\right)V_\lambda V_\lambda^*\right\| \to 0,$$

which implies

$$\left\|DV_\lambda^* V_\lambda - V_\lambda^* V_\lambda D + DV_\lambda V_\lambda^* - V_\lambda V_\lambda^* D\right\| \to 0$$

for every $D \in \mathcal{B}$. Thus

$$\lim_\lambda \left\|SP_\lambda - P_\lambda S\right\| = \frac{1}{2}\lim \left\|\left(\alpha\left(S\right)V_\lambda - V_\lambda \beta\left(S\right)\right) + \left(\beta\left(S\right)V_\lambda^* - V_\lambda^* \alpha\left(S\right)\right)\right\| =$$

$$\lim_\lambda \frac{1}{2}\left|\beta\left(S\right) - \alpha\left(S\right)\right| \left\|V_\lambda^* - V_\lambda\right\| = \frac{1}{2}\left|\beta\left(S\right) - \alpha\left(S\right)\right| = dist\left(S, \mathcal{W}\right),$$

since $\left\|V_\lambda^* - V_\lambda\right\| = 1$ for every λ. □

Theorem 2. *Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital commutative C*-subalgebra of a centrally prime unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$. Then*

$$Appr\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\right)'' = C^*\left(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{B}\right)\right).$$

Hence $\mathcal{A}$ is normal if and only if $\mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{B}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. It is clear that $\mathcal{W} = C^*\left(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{B}\right)\right) \subseteq Appr\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\right)''$. Choose a masa $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{B}$ with $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$. Then

$$\mathcal{W} \subseteq Appr\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\right)'' \subseteq Appr\left(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}\right)'' = \mathcal{D}.$$

If we choose $S = S^* \in Appr\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\right)''$ and apply Theorem 1 we see that $S \in \mathcal{W}$. Since $Appr\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\right)''$ is a C*-algebra, we have proved that $Appr\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\right)'' \subseteq \mathcal{W}$. □

In the von Neumann algebra setting, we get a distance formula. We have not tried to get the best constant.

Theorem 3. *Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital commutative C^*-subalgebra of a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{B}$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}$. Then there is a net $\{P_\lambda\}$ of projections in $\mathcal{B}$ such that,*

(1) *for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$,*

$$\|AP_\lambda - P_\lambda A\| \to 0,$$

and

(2)

$$dist\left(T, C^*\left(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{B}\right)\right)\right) \leq 10 \lim_\lambda \|TP_\lambda - P_\lambda T\|.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{W} = C^*\left(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{B}\right)\right)$. We define the seminorm Δ on $\mathcal{B}$ by $\Delta\left(V\right)$ to be the supremum of $\lim_\lambda \|VP_\lambda - P_\lambda V\|$ taken over all nets $\{P_\lambda\}$ of projections in $\mathcal{B}$ for which $\|AP_\lambda - P_\lambda A\| \to 0$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\lim_\lambda \|VP_\lambda - P_\lambda V\|$ exists. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a masa in $\mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$.

We first assume $T = T^*$. It follows from Lemma 2 that there is an $S \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$\|S - T\| \leq 2 \sup\left\{\|TP - PT\| : P = P^* = P^2 \in \mathcal{D}\right\} \leq 2\Delta\left(T\right).$$

If we apply Theorem 1, we obtain a net $\{P_\lambda\}$ of projections in $\mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\lim_\lambda \|WP_\lambda - P_\lambda W\| = 0$$

for every $W \in \mathcal{W}$, and such that

$$\lim_\lambda \|P_\lambda S - SP_\lambda\| = dist\left(S, \mathcal{W}\right).$$

It follows that

$$dist\left(T, \mathcal{W}\right) \leq dist\left(S, \mathcal{W}\right) + \|S - T\| \leq \Delta\left(S\right) + 2\Delta\left(T\right) \leq$$

and

$$\Delta\left(S - T\right) + \Delta\left(T\right) + 2\Delta\left(T\right) \leq \|S - T\| + 3\Delta\left(T\right) \leq 5\Delta\left(T\right).$$

whenever $T = T^*$.

For the general case,

$$dist\left(T, \mathcal{A}\right) \leq dist\left(\operatorname{Re} T, \mathcal{A}\right) + dist\left(\operatorname{Im} T, \mathcal{A}\right) \leq$$

$$5\Delta\left(\operatorname{Re} T\right) + 5\Delta\left(\operatorname{Im} T\right) \leq 5\left[\frac{1}{2}\Delta\left(T + T^*\right) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\left(T - T^*\right)\right] \leq$$

$$5\left[\Delta\left(T\right) + \Delta\left(T^*\right)\right] = 10\Delta\left(T\right),$$

since $\Delta\left(T\right) = \Delta\left(T^*\right)$. □

Corollary 2. *If $\mathcal{B}$ is a centrally prime C^*-algebra with trivial center, e.g., a factor von Neumann algebra or the Calkin algebra, then $\mathcal{A} = Appr\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\right)''$ for every commutative unital C^*-subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{B}$.*

In some cases our results yield information on relative double commutants.

Theorem 4. *Suppose* $\{\mathcal{B}_n\}$ *is a sequence of primitive C*-algebras and* $\mathcal{B} = \prod_{n\geq 1}\mathcal{B}_n / \sum_{n\geq 1}^{\oplus}\mathcal{B}_n$. *If* $\mathcal{A}$ *is a separable unital C*-subalgebra of* $\mathcal{B}$, *then*

$$(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})'' = C^*\left(\mathcal{A}\cup\mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{B}\right)\right),$$

i.e., $C^*\left(\mathcal{A}\cup\mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{B}\right)\right)$ *is normal.*

Proof. We first show that $\mathcal{B}$ is centrally prime. Since each $\mathcal{B}_n$ is primitive, we can assume, for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, that there is a Hilbert space H_n such that $\mathcal{B}_n$ is an irreducible unital C*-subalgebra of $B\left(H_n\right)$. Suppose $A,B\in\mathcal{B}$, $0\leq A,B\leq 1$ and $A\mathcal{B}B=0$. We can lift A,B, respectively to a sequences $\{A_n\},\{B_n\}$ in $\prod_{n\geq 1}\mathcal{B}_n$. Hence, for every bounded sequence $\{T_n\}\in\prod_{n\geq 1}\mathcal{B}_n$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left\|A_nT_nB_n\right\| = 0.$$

Choose unit vectors $e_n, f_n\in H_n$ so that $\left\|A_ne_n\right\|\geq\left\|A_n\right\|/2$ and $\left\|B_nf_n\right\|\geq\left\|B_n\right\|/2$. It follwos from the irreducibility of $\mathcal{B}_n$ and Kadison's transitivity theorem [**9**] that there is a $T_n\in\mathcal{B}_n$ such that $\left\|T_n\right\|=1$ and $T_nB_nf_n=\left\|B_nf_n\right\|e_n$. It follows that

$$0=\lim_{n\to\infty}\left\|A_nT_nB_n\right\|\geq\lim_{n\to\infty}\left\|A_nT_nB_nf_n\right\|\geq\lim\frac{1}{4}\left\|A_n\right\|\left\|B_n\right\|.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\min\left(\left\|A_n\right\|,\left\|B_n\right\|\right)^2\leq\lim_{n\to\infty}\left\|A_n\right\|\left\|B_n\right\|=0.$$

For each $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we define

$$P_n=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text{if } \left\|B_n\right\|\leq\left\|A_n\right\|\\ 0 & \text{if } \left\|A_n\right\|<\left\|B_n\right\|\end{array}\right. .$$

Then $\{P_n\}$ is in the center of $\prod_{n\geq 1}\mathcal{B}_n$ and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left\|P_nB_n\right\|=\lim_{n\to\infty}\left\|\left(1-P_n\right)A_n\right\|=0.$$

If P is the image of $\{P_n\}$ in the quotient $\mathcal{B}$, then P is a central projection and $PA=P$ and $\left(1-P\right)B=B$. Hence $\mathcal{B}$ is centrally prime. So it follows that

$$\mathit{appr}\left(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}\right)''=C^*\left(\mathcal{A}\cup\mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{B}\right)\right).$$

The proof will be completed with proof of the following claim: If $\mathcal{S}$ is a norm-separable subset of $\mathcal{B}$, then

$$\mathit{appr}\left(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{B}\right)''=\left(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{B}\right)''.$$

It is clear from considering constant sequences that the inclusion $\mathit{appr}\left(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{B}\right)''\subseteq\left(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{B}\right)''$ holds for every unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$. To prove the reverse inclusion, suppose $T\notin\mathit{appr}\left(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{B}\right)''$. Then there is and $\varepsilon>0$ and a net $\{A_\lambda\}$ in $\mathcal{B}$ such that $\left\|A_\lambda S-SA_\lambda\right\|\to 0$ for every $S\in\mathcal{S}$, and such that $\left\|A_\lambda T-TA_\lambda\right\|\geq\varepsilon$ for every λ. Let $\mathcal{S}_0=\{S_1,S_2,\ldots\}$ be a dense subset of $\mathcal{S}$. We can lift each S_n to $\{S_n\left(j\right)\}_{j\geq 1}\in\prod_{k\geq 1}\mathcal{B}_k$ and lift T to $\{T\left(j\right)\}_{j\geq 1}$. It follows that, for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, there is an $A_n\in\mathcal{B}$ with $\left\|A_n\right\|=1$ such that

a. $\left\|A_nS_k-S_kA_n\right\|<1/n$ for $1\leq k\leq n$,

b. $\|A_nT - TA_n\| > \varepsilon/2$.

Note that if $B \in \mathcal{B}$ lifts to $\{B(j)\}_{j\geq 1} \in \prod_{k\geq 1} \mathcal{B}_k$, then $\|B\| = \limsup_{j\to\infty} \|B(j)\|$. If we lift each A_n to $\{A_n(j)\}$, it follows that we can find an arbitrarily large $j_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|A_n(j_n) S_k(j_n) - S_k(j_n) A_n(j_n)\| < 1/n$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $\|A_n(j_n) T(j_n) - T(j_n) A_n(j_n)\| > \varepsilon/2$. Since j_n can be chosen to be arbitrarily large, we can choose $\{j_n\}$ so that $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots$. We now define $A \in \mathcal{B}$ by defining

$$A(j) = \begin{cases} A_n(j_n) & \text{if } j = j_n \text{ for some } n \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

We see that $AS_k = S_kA$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $\|AT - TA\| \geq \varepsilon/2$. Hence $T \notin (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})''$. $\square$

We conclude with some questions.

(1) If $\mathcal{M}$ is a normal von Neumann subalgebra of a factor von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{B}$, is there a constant $K \geq 1$ such that, for every $T \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$dist(T, \mathcal{M}) \leq K \sup\left\{\|TP - PT\| : P = P^2 = P^* \in \mathcal{M}' \cap \mathcal{B}\right\}?$$

When $\mathcal{B} = B(H)$, this question is equivalent to Kadison's similarity problem. What about factors not of type I?

(2) Is there an analog of Theorem 3 for arbitrary C*-subalgebras of a factor von Neumann algebra?

(3) It seems likely that a version of parts (4) and (5) of Theorem 1 might hold under assumptions weaker than $\mathcal{B}$ being a von Neumann algebra. Is it true when $\mathcal{B}$ has real-rank zero? What if we include nuclear and simple? The key is getting the partial isometries V_λ in the proof of Theorem 1. When does a unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ have the property that whenever $X, Y, A, B \geq 0$ are in $\mathcal{B}$ and $AX = X$, $BY = Y$, $AB = 0$ and $X\mathcal{B}Y \neq \{0\}$, there is a nonzero partial isometry $V \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $AV = VB = V$?

References

[1] Choda, Marie, A condition to construct a full II_1-factor with an application to approximate normalcy. Math. Japon. 28 (1983) 383–398.

[2] Dixmier, J. Sur les C -algèbres, Bull. Soc. Math. France 88 (1960) 95–112.

[3] Hadwin, Don, An asymptotic double commutant theorem for C*-algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 244 (1978) 273–297.

[4] Hadwin, Don, Approximately hyperreflexive algebras, J. Operator Theory 28 (1992) 51–64.

[5] Hadwin, Don, Continuity modulo sets of measure zero, Math. Balkanica (N.S.) 3 (1989) 430–433.

[6] Hadwin, Don; Paulsen, Vern I., Two reformulations of Kadison's similarity problem, J. Operator Theory 55 (2006) 3–16

[7] Jolissaint, Paul, Operator algebras related to Thompson's group F., J. Aust. Math. Soc. 79 (2005) 231–241.

[8] Kadison, Richard V. Normalcy in operator algebras. Duke Math. J. 29 1962 459–464.

[9] Kadison, Richard V. and Ringrose, J. R., Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras, Vol. II, New York: Harcourt, 1986.

[10] Kasparov, G. G., The operator K-functor and extensions of C*-algebras, Math. USSR-Isv. 16 (1981) 513-572.

[11] Machado, Silvio, On Bishop's generalization of the Weierstrass-Stone theorem, Indag. Math. 39 (1977) 218–224.

[12] Ransford, T. J., A short elementary proof of the Bishop-Stone-Weierstrass theorem. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 96 (1984), no. 2, 309–311.
[13] Rosenoer, Shlomo, Distance estimates for von Neumann algebras. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 86 (1982) 248–252.
[14] Vowden, B. J., Normalcy in von Neumann algebras, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 27 (1973) 88–100.
[15] Weaver, Nik, A prime C*-algebra that is not primitive, J. Funct. Anal. 203 (2003) 356–361.

Mathematics Department, University of New Hampshire
E-mail address: `don@unh.edu`
URL: `http://www.math.unh.edu/~don`